

Submitted by:

William Rilling, MD FSIR, Professor of Radiology & Surgery, Medical College of Wisconsin Ernesto Santos, MD, Attending Physician, Chair of Faculty Development Committee Interventional Radiology Service, Memorial Sloane Kettering Cancer Center Sean Tutton, MD, FSIR, FCIRSE, Professor of Radiology, Adjunct Professor of Orthopedics Division of Vascular and Interventional Radiology UC San Diego, Department of Radiology

Organization:

Society of Interventional Oncology 2025 M St NW #800, Washington, DC 20036 Phone: (202) 367-1164

June 3, 2022

NCCN Guidelines Panel: Palliative Care

On behalf of The Society of Interventional Oncology, we respectfully request that the NCCN Palliative Care panel consider including an interventional radiologist (IR) on the panel. IR procedures are alluded to in the current guidelines, such as palliative gastrostomy and other "invasive procedures". However, there is a plethora of interventions offered by IRs to palliate cancer-related pain and complications, ranging from ablation, cementoplasty, drainage and tunneled catheter placement, and pain control procedures, among many others. Over half of a typical IR's clinical work is focused on pallation. We have included an article by Dr Buss, a palliative care specialist, who highlights the critical importance of IR physicians in palliative care.

Not only is it imperative than an IR with expertise in palliative care be included on the NCCN guideline panel, we also request the following change in the current guideline:

Specific Change 1: PAL – 10: Include image-guided ablation and cementoplasty as considerations to palliate painful bone metastases.

Rationale: Percutaneous image-guided heat-based ablation and cryoablation provide excellent pain relief for skeletal metastases. The MOTION trial demonstrated that cryoablation of metastatic bone tumors provides rapid and durable pain palliation, improves quality of life, and reduces the need for opioids for pain control. Cementoplasty alone or in combination with ablation provides excellent relief from cancer pain. In addition to vertebral augmentation for painful spine metastases, extraspinal bone metastases are also successfully treated in this manner. Currently, only external radiation is mentioned.

References:

- 1. Jennings, Jack W., et al. "Cryoablation for palliation of painful bone metastases: the MOTION multicenter study." Radiology: Imaging Cancer 3.2 (**2021**): e200101.
- Ferrer-Mileo, Laura, Ana Isabel Luque Blanco, and Jesús González-Barboteo. "Efficacy of cryoablation to control cancer pain: a systematic review." Pain Practice 18.8 (2018): 1083-1098.

2001 K Street NW, 3rd Floor North | Washington, DC 20006 | 1-202-367-1164 www.sio-central.org | info@sio-central.org



- Goetz, Matthew P., et al. "Percutaneous image-guided radiofrequency ablation of painful metastases involving bone: a multicenter study." Journal of Clinical Oncology 22.2 (2004): 300-306.
- 4. Jensen, Mary E., et al. "Position statement on percutaneous vertebral augmentation: a consensus statement developed by the American society of interventional and therapeutic neuroradiology, society of interventional radiology, American association of neurological surgeons/congress of neurological surgeons, and American society of spine radiology." American Journal of Neuroradiology 28.8 (2007): 1439-1443.
- 5. Buss, Mary K. "The intersection of palliative care and interventional radiology: enhancing understanding and collaboration." Seminars in Interventional Radiology. Vol. 34. No. 02. Thieme Medical Publishers, 2017.

Thank you for considering our comments.

Sincerely,

William Rilling, MD FSIR Ernesto Santos, MD Sean Tutton, MD, FSIR, FCIRSE